**Interviewee Evaluation Rubric**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name of Candidate: | Date of Interview: |
| Position: | Program: |
| Interviewer(s): | Relevant Experience: |
| Education: | Supervisory Experience: |

**Instructions**: For each of the interview criteria listed below, the interviewer must mark the candidate as above standard, meets standard or below standard. Write job-related, specific comments in the space provided below each section. Consistency to be among all candidates interviewed for specific position.

**Agency Related Criteria**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Above Standard: 3 Points | Meets Standard: 2 Points | Below Standard: 1 Point | Rating |
| **Resume** | * Resume is professional and neat
* Resume is free of errors
* Resume provides many examples of transferable skills.
 | * Resume is professional and neat
* Resume has few errors
* Resume has few examples of transferable skills
 | * Resume is not neat or professional
* Resume has several errors
* Resume has no examples of transferable skills.
 |  |
| **Comments:** |
|  | Above Standard: 3 Points | Meets Standard: 2 Points | Below Standard: 1 Point | Rating |
| **Education** | * Candidate possesses higher level credentialing than required
 | * Candidate possesses required level of credentialing.
 | * Candidate does not possess exact credentialing, but may qualify for waiver.
 |  |
| **Comments:** |
|  | Above Standard: 3 Points | Meets Standard: 2 Points | Below Standard: 1 Point | Rating |
| **Prior Work Experience** | * Candidate has had more than preferred amount of experience.
 | * Candidate has preferred amount of experience.
 | * Candidate does not have preferred amount of experience.
 |  |
| **Comments:** |
|  | Above Standard: 3 Points | Meets Standard: 2 Points | Below Standard: 1 Point | Rating |
| **Code of Ethics** | * Candidate’s response to ethical question did align with NAEYC Code of Conduct and candidate’s answer demonstrated ability to adhere to Code of Conduct in any situation.
 | * Candidate’s response to ethical question did align with NAEYC Code of Conduct.
 | * Candidate’s response to ethical question did not align with NAEYC Code of Conduct.
 |  |
| **Comments:** |

**Programmatic Position Specific Criteria**

**(THESE ARE MEANT TO SERVE AS EXAMPLE ONLY. Please fill in and make rubric specific to your program and the position, leave criteria heading the same.)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Above Standard: 3 Points | Meets Standard: 2 Points | Below Standard: 1 Point | Rating |
| **Interview Content** | * Candidate’s interview content indicated many transferrable skills.
 | * Candidate’s interview content indicated several transferrable skills.
 | * Candidate’s interview content did not indicate any, or very few, transferrable skills.
 |  |
| **Comments:** |
|  | Above Standard: 3 Points | Meets Standard: 2 Points | Below Standard: 1 Point | Rating |
| **Verbal Communication** | * Candidate displayed exceptional verbal communication skills.
 | * Candidate displayed adequate verbal communication skills.
 | * Candidate displayed inadequate verbal communication skills.
 |  |
| **Comments:** |
|  | Above Standard: 3 Points | Meets Standard: 2 Points | Below Standard: 1 Point | Rating |
| **Time Management Skills** | * Candidate effectively demonstrated adequate time management skills in all instances provided during interview/assignment.
 | * Candidate effectively demonstrated adequate time management skills in several instances during interview/assignment.
 | * Candidate was unable to effectively demonstrate adequate time management skills.
 |  |
| **Comments:** |
|  | Above Standard: 3 Points | Meets Standard: 2 Points | Below Standard: 1 Point | Rating |
| **Written Assignment** | * Candidate’s assignment was exceptional.
 | * Candidate’s assignment was adequate.
 | * Candidate’s assignment was inadequate.
 |  |
| **Comments:** |
|  | Above Standard: 3 Points | Meets Standard: 2 Points | Below Standard: 1 Point | Rating |
| **Technological Skills** | * Candidate’s demonstrated technological skills are more than adequate for the position.
 | * Candidate’s demonstrated technological skills are adequate for the position.
 | * Candidate’s demonstrated technological skills are inadequate for the position.
 |  |
| **Comments:** |

**Overall Impression, Additional Comments, and Rubric Score**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Above Standard: 3 Points | Meets Standard: 2 Points | Below Standard: 1 Point | Rating |
| **Overall Impression** | * Candidate’s interview content and demonstrated skills were exceptional for this position.
 | * Candidate’s interview content and demonstrated skills were adequate for the position.
 | * Candidate’s interview content and demonstrated skills were not adequate for the position.
 |  |
| **Comments:** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Rating Totals** |
| **Interview Criteria** | **Score** |
| **Resume** |  |
| **Education** |  |
| **Experience** |  |
| **Code of Ethics** |  |
| **Interview Content** |  |
| **Verbal Communication** |  |
| **Time Management** |  |
| **Written Assignment** |  |
| **Technological Skills** |  |
| **Overall Impression** |  |
| **Total Score** |  |
| **Average Score** |  |

**Additional Comments**